

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/935,973	08/23/2001	Martin Schleske	SKE 9877-2 US	1339	
75	590 09/10/2003				
REISING, ETHINGTON, BARNES, KISSELLE LEARMAN & McCULLOCH, P.C. 5291 COLONY DRIVE NORTH SAGINAW, MI 48603			EXAMINER		
			LOCKETT, KIMBERLY R		
SAGINAW, MI	1 48003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2837		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	<del>- 2</del>			u			
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/935,973		SCHLESKE, MARTIN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kim R. Lockett		2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	August 2001 .						
2a)□		is action is non-fi	nal.		•			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6)  Claim(s) <u>11-14 and 17-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers								
	•	-						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) 🗆 :					ar			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
,.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) <u> </u> 5) <u> </u> 6) <u> </u>		Patent Application (PTC				

Page 2

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-14 and 17-24 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6-15 of copending Application No. 09935975 in view of Janes.

Both sets of claims recite the use of a soundboard comprising a core plate with and fiber coatings that are multidirectional.

The difference between the application number 09935973 and 09935975 is the use of a recess.

This element is disclosed in the Janes reference. Janes discloses that one recess in the core plate takes up the entire thickness of the core plate (see figure 3).

Janes also discloses that at least one recess (26) occupies only a part of the thickness of the core plate, which has individual layers that are composed of fiber and laminates.

It would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the application of 09935975 with the recess as disclosed in Janes in order to enhance tonal quality.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11-14 and 17- 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawada et al Kamijyo in view of Decker, Jr. et al.

Sawada et al discloses the use of a soundboard for an acoustical musical instrument comprising a core plate having two opposite faces and a plurality of single layer fiber sections adhered on at least one of the faces of the core plate, each of said fiber sections being composed of elongate fibers embedded in a carrier.

Sawada does not disclose the specific use of coatings and multidirectional fibers.

Kamijyo discloses the use of multidirectional long fibers, which are embedded in a matrix, and the use of a coating (13).

Sawada and Kamijyo do not disclose the use of dampening zones and varying thicknesses.

Decker, Jr. et al discloses the use of a soundboard for a musical instrument comprising a single or a double layer (column 3, lines 25-32). Decker further discloses

Application/Control Number: 09/935,973

Art Unit: 2837

the use of dampening zones and a plate has different thicknesses (see figure 3)).

Decker, Jr. et al also discloses the use of a composite material used to make stringed musical instruments using a fiber coating (column 4, lines 48-55) distributed over the entire area. Decker, Jr. et al discloses that the fibers on the upper face of the core plate

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art time the invention was made to modify the device as disclosed by Sawada with the layered fiber section as disclosed by Sawada et al and the coating as disclosed by Kamijyo and the dampening zones as disclosed by Decker, Jr. in order to obtain a soundboard with

deviate from the run of the fibers on the lower face of the plate (see figure 7).

sustained durability and a variance of musical tones.

3. Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-14 and 17- 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 2837

5. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or filed papers should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center located at Crystal Plaza 4. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15,1989). The Group 2800 CP 4 Fax Center number is (703) 308-77(22 or 24). Fax numbers that provide an autoreply fax receipt are: for before finals (703) 872-9318 and after finals (703) 872-9319.

For assistance in Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions calls should be directed to the Patents Assistance Center (PAC) whose telephone number is 800-786-9199. Assistance is also available on the Internet at www.uspto.gov.

For requesting copies of Cited Art, Office Actions or the like, or General

Problem solving, calls should be directed to the TC 2800 Customer Service Office

whose telephone number is 703-306-3329 or by fax at 703-306-5515.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Lockett whose telephone number is (703) 308-7615. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/935,973

Art Unit: 2837

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi, can be reached on (703) 308-3370.

Kim Lockett Patent Examiner Art Unit 2837

> KIMBERLY LOCKETT PRIMARY EXAMINER